IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 23411 of 2004

Between:

M/s. Cynosure Tele Systems P. Ltd., G-3, Ground Floor, Kochar

Apartments, Begumpet, Hyderabad, rep. by its Managing Director- Mr. G.V.

Ramana Reddy, S/o. Ramakrishna Reddy, 35 Years.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 I.B. P. Co. Ltd., 10, Mayor Ramanathan Street,
Chetput, Chennai, rep. by its General Manager.
2 The Divisional Manager, I.B.P. Co., Ltd., H.No. 9-1-85 & 84,
Amarchand Sharma Complex, S.D.Road, Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to Issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, declaring the action of the second respondent in conducting the interview on 29.11.2004 relating to the contract of Maintenance and Handling of IBP Retail Outlet, Begumet, Hyderabad without issuing interview notices to the applicants and without giving a reasonable opportunity as unjust, illegal, arbitrary against principles of natural justice and set aside the interviews held on 29.11.2004 by the second respondent in of FBP Retail out let Begumpet, Hyderabad and direct the respondents to issue notices to all applicants and conduct a fair interview and finalise the contract of Maintenance and Handling of IBP Retail Outlet, Begumpet, Hyderabad and pass such other order or orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.T.AMARNATH GOUD

Counsel for the Respondent No.: MR.DEEPAK BHATTACHARJEE

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a writ of mandamus declaring the action of the second respondent in conducting the interview on 29-11-2004 relating to the contract of Maintenance and Handling of IBP Retail Outlet, Begumpet, Hyderabad without issuing interview notices to the applicants and without giving a reasonable opportunity, as illegal and arbitrary.

Respondents 1 and 2 filed counter affidavit stating that as per the policy decision taken in respect of the company owned and company operated retail outlet at Begumpet, the interviews and selections for appointment of Maintenance and Handling contractor shall not be progressed further and it was decided that Maintenance and Handling activities shall be managed directly by the company.

Recording the same, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

_____

K.C. BHANU ,J

Date : 30-12-2004

klp

To

1 The GeneralManager, I.B. P. Co. Ltd., 10, Mayor Ramanathan Street, Chetput, Chennai,

2 The Divisional Manager, I.B.P. Co., Ltd., H.No. 9-1-85 & 84, Amarchand Sharma Complex, S.D.Road, Secunderabad.

3. 2CD copies